

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/800,346	MANSFIELD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jared W. Newton	3634	

All Participants:

(1) Jared W. Newton (Examiner).

(2) Clay Cunningham (Attorney).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 rejections

Claims discussed:

16,17

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested clarification of the engagement between the body 46 and the pivot arms of the first lock member. The Attorney explained the engagement effectively, but acknowledged that said engagement was difficult to deduce from the drawings. In view of the telephonic interview, the Examiner maintains the 112 rejections in the instant Office Action..